## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

TQ DELTA, LLC,	)		
Plaintiff,	)	C.A. No.	13-cv-1835-RGA
	)		
v.	)		
	)		
2WIRE, INC.,	)		
	)		
Defendant.	)		

**VERDICT FORM** 

We, the jury, unanimously answer the questions submitted as follows:

## PART I: INFRINGEMENT

1.	Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed Clair of the '881 Patent, by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. 5165168NV, and 5268AC?			
	Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."			
	Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.			
	Yes No			
2.	Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed Cl of the '881 Patent, by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. 5168NV, and 5268AC?			
	Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."			
	Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.			
	Yes No			
	PART II: INVALIDITY			
3.	Has 2Wire proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 17 of the '881 painvalid as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,222,858 ("Counterman")?	itent is		
	Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."			
	Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.			
	Yes No			

1.	Has 2Wire proven by clear and convincing evid invalid as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6				
	Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."				
	Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding Checking "No" below indicates a finding f				
	Yes	No			
	SIGNATURE BLO	ОСК			
	We, the jury, unanimously return this Verdict Fo	orm as our verdict in the case:			
Date:	re;				
		Jury Foreperson			